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The larvae of the anomuran crab family Porcellanidae have long been recognized in the plankton by their unique rostral spine (Thompson, 1836). Until recently, however, there was little attempt to rear the larvae in the laboratory under controlled conditions through their complete life cycle. To date, adequate descriptions of the complete larval development are available for species of Pachycheles (Kurata, 1964: Kinight, 1966: Boschi et al.. 1967). Petrocheles (Wear, 1965a, 1966), Petrolisthes (Gohar and Al Kholy, 1957 ; Wear, 1964 a, b, 1965b; Greenwood, 1965) Pisidia (formerly some Porcellana species: Lebour, 1943 : BourdillonCasanova, 1956). Porccllana (Lebour, 1943: Bourdillon-Casanova, 1960) and Polyony.r (Knight, 1966).

Polyonyt gibbcsi, which is found from Woods Hole, Massachusetts to La Palona. Urugnay, is a known commensal with the polychaete worm Chactopterus variopedatus (Renier) (see Pearse, 1913; Gray, 1961 and Haig, 1966). Faxon ( 1879,1882 ) briefly described and figured the first and second zoea and the "first stage of the crab" (=megalopa) of Polyony.r macrocheles Gibbes (now called Polyony.t gibbesi Haig 1956). Faxon's figures and descriptions are unfortunately not sufficiently detailed to allow positive identification of the larvae or comparison with larvae of other species of Polyony.r. A second author ( MacArthur. 1962, unpublished) also described the larval development of Polyony:-r macrocheles (sic) but differences between the larrae she studied and mine will require further study before an evaluation can be made.

The purpose of this paper is to illustrate and describe the complete larval development of Polyonyr gibluesi. Certain characters are discussed which may enable the larvae of the genus to be identified from the plankton. The relationship between P. gibbesi and the Pacific coast form Polyonyx quadriungulatus is also discussed.

## Materials and Methods

Ovigerous female Polyony.r were collected from Chactoptcrus tubes obtained by bucket dredge in the Cape Florida Channel, from an area 3 m . deep and
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Figure 1.

20 mm . offshore of Hurricane Marbor, Key Biscayne, Florida. The crabs were isolated in non-flowing sea water in $19-\mathrm{cm}$. diameter plastic bowls until hatching occurred. Zoeae were placed, one each, in compartmented plastic tackle boxes. Each compartment held about 40 cc . of unfiltered sea water ( $33-35 \%$ salinity). Two series of boxes, one with zoeae fed with Artemia salina nauplii, and one with staryed zoeae, were maintained at $10^{\circ}, 15^{\circ}, 20^{\circ}, 25^{\circ}$, and $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Temperature variation was not more than $\pm 1.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Larvae were fed and received a change of water every other day. Illumination was not controlled. A record was kept for all molts and deaths for each larva, with exuviae and dead larvae preserved in $70 \%$ ethanol. Larvae obtained from another female were held at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\left( \pm 2^{\circ}\right.$ C.) and several zoeae were sacrificed every day, and also preserved in $70 \%$ ethanol. Appendages were dissected in $40 \%$ lactic acid and mounted in Turtox CMC-S. Illustrations were made with a camera lucida attached to a Wild M-20 binocular compound microscope, from slides of individual appendages. Drawings were checked both for accuracy and individual larval variation against appendages dissected from exuviae and sacrificed animals. Measurements were made with a LaFayette objective micrometer. Carapace length was measured from the anterior margin of the zoeal eye to the insertion of the posterior spines on the zoeal carapace, and from the edge of the megalopal frontal region to the posterior margin of the carapace for carapace length, and across the widest part of the carapace for carapace width. The carapace measurements provided are the arith. metic average of 10 specimens measured in each larval stage. One spent female (UMML, 32:3581) plus hatched specimens of first and second zoeae and megalopae (UMML $32: 3582$ ) were placed in the Musemm of the Institute of Marine Sciences.

## Results

Polyonvir gibbesi passes through a pre-zoeal stage, two zoeal stages and one megalopal stage. As noted for other porcellanids (e.g. Knight, 1966) there is an increase in size of the third maxillipeds, gills and pleopods during the zoeal stages. Some authors (e.g. Boschi ct al., 1967) consider this increase a substage, though no molt is seen. Others (c.g. Boyd and Johnson. 1963) refer to molting that produces additional stages but little or no alteration of form as substages. The term substage needs re-definition. I never observed exuviae other than the two zoeal molts, and I do not use the term substage.

Temperature noticeably affects larval development, altering the duration of each stage or preventing the development to subsequent stages. Figure 1 depicts the length of time the larvae, both fed and starved, spent in each stage at various temperatures. No starved zoeae developed beyond the first stage. Fed zoeae at $10^{\circ}$ and $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. remained in Stage I, living at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for a maximum of 32 days, and at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for a maximum of 22 days, before dying. Animals reared at $20^{\circ}, 25^{\circ}$, and $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. reached the megalopal stage in a minimum of 18,14 and eight days. respectively. Crab stages were obtained only at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. While the

Figure 1. Polyony.r gibbesi: Duration of survival in each stage of larval development, fed and starved, at various temperatures. Horizontal scale represents the number of days after hatching. The vertical scale represents the number of surviving larvae. Salinity range $=$ $33-35 \%$.


## D $\longrightarrow$

Figure 2. Polyonys gibbesi: pre-zoea. A. Larva in egg just before hatching. B. Larva in process of hatching. C. Newly hatched pre-zoea. Remnants of pre-zoeal cuticle surround appendages. Rostral spine and setae on appendages not yet emerged. D. Pre-zoeal telson. Two hairs on central prominence of telson and other fine setae not yet present. Scales equal 0.5 mm .
shortest duration of development occurred at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., no cral, stages were obtained. Mortality at this temperature was very high and only three zoeae molted to megalopa and none of these survived longer than 11 days. Thus, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. allowed the best development in the temperature series. At this temperature the first and second zoeal stages lasted six to seven days and the megalopal stage usually lasted 12 to 14 days.

## Description of the Larvae

Pre-soca
The hatching sequence of the entire egg mass lasted about two hours (see Fig. 2, A, B). The pre-zoeal stage also lasts about two hours. The long rostral spine, in the pre-zoea as yet undeveloped, is partially bent outside and under the carapace and partially invaginated into the carapace above the midgut region (Fig. 2, C). The embryonic cuticle in the specimens examined was almost completely fragmented. Setae on the appendages and telson, only partly extruded at the beginning of the stage, become completely extruded toward the end of the stage. The setae on the carapace over the eyes and setae on the central prominence of the telson (Fig. 2, D) are not present. The pre-zoeae swim by rapid abdominal flexion.

In two instances pre-zoeae swam from Chaetopterus tubes maintained in the laboratory. If the larvae did not hatch as prezoeae they might encounter difficulty in escaping through the narrow neck of the worm tube.



Figure 4. Polyony.r gibbesi; first zoeal appendages. A. Antemule. B. Antenna. C. Mandibles. D. Maxillule. E. Maxilla. F. Maxilliped 1. G. Maxilliped 2. Scales equal 0.3 mm .

First Zoca
Carapace length: 1.2 mm .
Number of specimens examined: 20
Carapace (Fig. 3, A). Typically porcellanid, produced anteriorly into an extremely long rostral spine up to seven times the length of carapace proper; posteriorly into two straight or divergent posterior carapace spines 1.4 to 1.8 times as long as carapace. Armature of spines as illustrated. Curvature of rostral and posterior carapace spines variable, depending in part on frequency of collision by new zoeae with other objects.

Dorsal surface of carapace with three pairs of fine setae. Placement illustrated in Figure 3. C.

Antennule: (Fig. 4. A). A simple slightly flabelliform rod with two long and one short aesthetasc and two or three setae of variable length, one with fine setules.

Antennaf: (Fig. 4, B). Endopodite, fused to protopodite, has a thin subterminal seta. Exopodite a thin spine almost twice as long as endopodite; about six small spinelets distally plus a thin seta halfway down its length.

Mandibles: (Fig. 4, C). Asymmetrical dentate processes without palp.
Marillule: (Fig. 4, D). Endopodite unsegmented, 3 setae. Coxal and basal endites each with 10 processes as shown.

Marilla: (Fig. 4, E). Endopodite unsegmented, \& terminal, two subterminal and three medial setae. Coxal endite with seven processes on proximal lobe. six on distal lobe. Basal endite with seven processes on proximal lobe, nine on distal lobe. Scaphognathite with five setae laterally and one long apical plumose seta. Placement of all setae as illustrated.

Martilliped 1: (Fig. 4, F). Four and ten setae on terminal segments of exopodite and endopodite, respectively. Basipodite setation progressing distally is $1,2-3$. 2, 3. Small tufts of hair dorsally on endopodite segments one to three.

Marilliped 2: (Fig. 4. G). Setation on terminal segments similar to maxilliped 1. Basidopodite setation 1-2, 3 progressing distally. Tufts of hair dorsally on segments one to three of endopodite as in maxilliped 1.

Marilliped 3: (Fig. 3, A). A small bifid lobe, with one or two setae.
Perciopods: Five buds visible in most specimens; extremely small and distorted in early stage. Both buds and maxilliped 3 increase in size as zoea progresses to Stage II.

Abdomon: (Fig. 3, A). Five somites, each with lateral spine of increasing length on somites nearer the telson. Somites three and four may each have a fine hair dorso-laterally : somite five has two fine setae just above lateral spine on each side.

Pleopods: Absent. Primordia visible in some zoeae from the plankton.


Figure 5.

Telson: (Fig. 3, D). Distinctive characters are minntely serrated lateral spines, the thin seta next to each telson spine, two fine lairs on central prominence, minute serrations terminally on articulated plumose setae, and two fine hairs medially on dorsal surface of telson proper. Anal spine present.
Color: Zoea transparent with red-orange chromatophores as follows: dorsally and ventrally surrounding the gut throughout abdomen; dorsally between lateral spines on telson; dorsally on tip of telson: interiorly around mouthparts. Rostral spine diffusely red-orange at tip and intermittently so throughout its length; posterior carapace spines diffusely red-orange only at tips.

Second aoea
Carapace length: 1.7 mm .
Number of specimens examined: 15
Carapace: (Fig. 3, B). Three pairs of dorsal setae persist. Rostral spine about six times carapace length: posterior spines of carapace up to 1.6 times carapace length. Spination on ventral margin of posterior spines may extend onto carapace in some zoeae.
Antonmule: (Fig. 5, A). Biramons; exopodite with three or four long aesthetascs and four terminal setae. one seta plumose. Subterminal aesthetascs arranged in three groups as 2, 3, 3 progressing proximally. Endopodite slightly less than half the length of exopodite. At junction of exopodite and endopodite are four small setate; two addlitional setae on basal medial projection of protopodite.

Antenna: (Fig. 5, B). Similar to Stage I. Exopodite now about $\frac{2}{3}$ as long as endopodite; distal spination almost absent.

Mandibles: (Fig. 5. C). Larger, with three or four teeth and smaller dentate processes. No evidence of palp though Faxon (1879) reported one present and illustrated it as rudiment.

Maxillule: (Fig. 5. D). Endopodite setation same as in Stage I. Coxal and basal endites with 12 processes each. as illustrated. One late stage zoea with one more seta on lateral margin of coxal endite making 13 processes.

Marilla: (Fig. 5. E). Endopodite setation same as Stage I. Coxal endite with 17-18 setae, 10 on proximal lobe, seven or eight on distal lobe. Basal endite with nine setae on proxinal lobe. 11 on distal lobe. Scaphognathite retains apical plumose seta, and now has about $2 t$ setae on margins.

Marilliped 1. 2: (Fig. 5. F-(i). Terminal segments of exopodite and endopodite with about 12 setae. Dorsal tuft of hairs on endopodite segments replaced by single long seta on each segment. Third segment of endopodite of Maxilliped 2 much swollen and nearly twice as long as other segments. Other setation similar to Stage 1 .

Figure 5. Polyonyr gibbesi; second zoeal appendages. A. Antennule. B. Antema. C. Mandibles. D. Maxilhule. E. Maxilla. F. Maxilliped 1. G. Maxilliped 2. H. Maxilliped 3, early (1.) and late (r.) stage. Scales equal 0.3 mm .

Marilliped 3: (Fig. 5, H). Exopodite indistinctly segmented with six terminal setae. Endopodite, the same length or slightly longer than exopodite after molting, increases in length thronghout second stage. It measures three times the length of exopodite just before molt to megalopa.
Pcreiopods: One and five indistinctly chelate. Gills present. Pereiopods and gills enlarge noticeably throughout diration of Stage II. Toward end of Stage II the almost completely formed pereiopods are tucked under posterior portion of carapace.
Pleopods: (Fig. 3, B). Buds present, of decreasing length on abdominal segments two through five. Buds increase in size as stage progresses.

Tclson: (Fig. 3, E). Now with two long articulated plumose setae on centrai prominence making $S+\delta$ processes. Fine hairs below prominence and those adjacent to lateral spines are retained. Setation on dorsal surface unchanged from Stage $I$.


Figure 6. Polyony.r gibbesi; megalopa. Right antemule and left maxilliped 3 removed for clarity. Scale line equals 1 mm .


Figure 7. Polyonyx gibbesi; megalopal appendages. A. Antennule (less tips of aesthetascs). B. Antenna (in part). C. Mandible. D. Maxillue. E. Maxilla. F. Maxilliped 1. G. Maxilliped 2. H. Maxilliped 3. Scales equal 0.3 mm .

Color: Chromatophore distribution and color similar to Stage I. As zoea approaches molt to megalopa, rostral spine becomes completely orange. Posterior spines of carapace colored orange but to lesser extent.

## Megalopa

The megalopa (Fig. 6) resembles the adult crab closely, enough so that Faxon ( 1879,1882 ) considered it the "first stage of the crab." And, though he acknowledged the presence of biramous pleopods on the abdomen, to substantiate his belief he also cited a lack of persistent zoeal characters expected to appear in a megalopa stage. Because of this, at least one author (e.g., Williams, 1965: 114) has quoted Faxon's error without further evaluation.

Chelae are well developed and fringed with setae on their onter margin. The juvenile and adult crab is always broader than long whereas only in the megalopa are the animals longer than broad. Carapace width-to-length measurements ranged from $1.2 \mathrm{~mm} . \times 1.2 \mathrm{~mm}$. to $1.4 \mathrm{~mm} . \times 1.4 \mathrm{~mm}$. First crab measurements werc 1.8 mm . wide by 1.6 mm . long.

Carapacc: (Fig. 6). Rounded or somewhat quadrate. Frontal region little produced; bears numerons setae. Eyes relatively large compared to first crab stage.

Antenmule: (Fig. 7. A). Biramous, with three-segmented peduncle; basal segment enlarged. Lower ramons three-segmented; upper ramons has seven segments with aesthetascs on segments two through five in the following sequence of rows and numbers: one row ( 10 ), two rows ( $10,3,+2$ setae), two rows $(3,2,+1$ seta), one row (3). Other setation on both rami as illustrated.

Antcnna: (Fig. 7, B). Three-segmented pedincle plus 25 short segments, each bearing several short setae. Terminal segment usually with a long seta.

Mandibles: (Fig. 7. C). Three-segmented palp present, first segment has two setae on distal edge: distal segment with approximately $15-20$ strong setae and spines.

Martllule: (Fig. 7, D). Endopodite two-segmented, with setae as shown. Basal and coxal endites have approximately 29 and 36 processes, respectively, placed as shown.

Maxilla: (Fig. 7, E). Endopodite unsegmented; three or four terminal, two or rarely three subterminal setae. Proximal lobe of coxal endite with at least 10 and up to 13 terminal processes, three subterminal processes and about 20 setae in a ring around middle of lobe. Distal lobe with six or seven terminal and three subterminal processes: seven setae progress down its side. Proximal lobe of basal endite with about 15 processes; distal lobe has about 30. Scaphognathite has 48 or more plumose setae around edge.

Marilliped 1: (Fig. 7, F). Setation fragile and variable: expodite with two to ten setae: endopodite with four to six setae; protopodite with 50 or more setae on coxal and basal lobes.

Maxilliped 2: (Fig. 7, G). Exopodite elongate, abont 20 setae on its two segments. Setation on four-segmented endopodite progressing distally is $9,8,18-20$,


Figure 8. Polyony.r gibbesi; locomotory appendages of megalopa. A. Pereiopod 2.
B. Pereiopod 5. C. Pleopod. D. Tail-Fan. Scale equals 0.3 mm.
about 18. Basipodite and coxopodite with about six and 12 setae, respectively. Placement as illustrated.

Maxilliped 3: (Fig. 7, H). Numerous setae on imet margin of basal lobes; about 14 setae and small spine on coxal lobe, as shown. Processes on five-segmented endopodite as follows: ischium, about 14 ; merus, about 16 ; carpus, about 18 ; pro-
podus, about 23 ; dactylus, about 15 . Ischium, merus and carpus have thin, platelike extensions. Exopodite has six to eight setae, placed as illustrated.

Perciopods: (Fig. 6:8 A, B). Chelipeds large, flattened, subequal, somewhat distorted, covered with setae. Walking legs setose, with two small blunt spines on distal edge of propodus (spines may be poorly developed) ; dactyl with three or four accessory spines. Pereiopod five chelate, gape appearing dentate in some. approximately 25 setae and three pectinate scythe-like hooks near gape.

Pleopods: (Fig. 8, C). Biramous, of decreasing size on abdominal somites nearer the telson. Exopodites with usually 12 setae: endopodites with one subterminal seta and an appendix interna.

Telson: (Fig. S, D). $\delta+\delta$ plumose setae plus additional spines and setae as
Table I
Comparison of zoeal appendages in two species of Polyonyx.
Data for P. quadriungulatus from Knight (1966) and for $P$. gibbesi from the present work.

| Zoea I |  |  | Zoea 11 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Appendage | P. gibbesi | P. quadriungulatus | P. gibbesi | P. quadritungulatus |
| ANTENNULE | Simple rod | Simple rod | Biramous | Biramous |
| Exopodite Endopodite | 3 aesthetascs; $2-3$ setae | 3 aesthetascs; 3 setae | 11 aesthetascs; 4 setae $1 / 2$ length of exopodite | 11 aesthetascs; 4 setae 1'2 length of exopodite |
| ANTENNA | Biramous | Biramous | Similar to Stage 1 | Similar to Stage 1 |
| Exopodite | $2 \times$ length of endopodite; spinous | $3 \times$ length of endopodite; spinous | $2 / 3$ length of endopodite | About equal to endopodite |
| Endopodite | 1 subterminal seta | 1 subterminal seta | Spination reduced As in Stage 1 | Spination as in Stage As in Stage 1 |
| MANDIBLE | Asymmetrical simple processes | Asymmetrical simple processes | 3 large teeth No palp | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { teeth figured } \\ & \text { No palp } \end{aligned}$ |
| MAXILLLLE Endopodite | 3 apical setae | 3 apical setae |  |  |
| Coxal endite | 4 spines; 6 setae | 4 spines: 6 setae | 5 spines; 7 setae | 3 setae 5 spines; 7 setae |
| Basal endite | 6 spines; 4 setae | 6 spines 4 setae | 7 spines ; 5 setae | 7 spines; 5 setae |
| MANILLA <br> Endopodite Coxal endite Basal endite Scaphognathite |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 setae | 9 setae | 9 setae | 9 setae |
|  | 13 processes | 13 processes | 17-18 processes | 17 processes |
|  | 16 processes | 16 processes | 20-21 processes | 20 processes |
|  | 5 setae; 1 spine | 5 setae ; 1 spine | $24(+1$ apical) setae | 21-26 ( +1 apical) setae |
| MAXILLIPED 1CoxopoditeBasipoditeEndopoditeExopodite |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 setae | 2 setae | 2 setae | 2 setae |
|  | 1,2-3,2,3, setae | 1,2,2,3 setae | 1,2,2.3 setae | 1,2,2,3 setae |
|  | 3,3,3,10 setae | 3,3,3,8 setae | 4,4,4,12 setae | 4,4,4,9 setase |
|  | 4 natatory setae | 4 natatory setae | 12 setae | 11-12 setae |
| MAXILLIPED 2CoxopoditeBasipoditeEndopoditeExopodite | 1 seta or naked | Naked | 1 seta or naked | Naked |
|  | 1-2,3 setae | 1,2 setae | 1,3 setae | 1,2 setae |
|  | 2,2,2,10 setae | 2,2,2,8 setae | 3,3,3,12 setae | 3,3,3.9 setae |
|  | 4 natatory setae | 4 natatory setae | 12 setae | 11-14 setae |
| MAXILLIPED 3 Endopodite Exopodite | Small bifid Jobe | Rudimentary | Now functional Increases in length 2 indistinct segments; 6 setae | Now functional <br> Increases in length <br> 2 indistinct segments; <br> 6 setae |
| PEREIOPODS | Present as buds | Present as buds | Developing <br> Chelation seen | Developing <br> Chelation seen |
| ABDOMEN | 5 somites with lateral | 5 somites with lateral | Pleopod buds present | Pleopod buds present |
| TELSON | spines <br> $7+7$ processes; 2 hairs on central prominence | spines <br> $7+7$ processes; 2 hairs on central prominence | Increase in size seen $8+8$ processes, hairs on prominence re tained | Increase in size seen $8+$ processes, hairs on prominence retained |

shown. Uropods biramous, exopodites with 18-24, endopodites 12-16, setae around distal margins.

## Discussion

At present the complete larval development is known for only two of the 23 described species of Polyony: one from the eastern Pacific and one from the western Atlantic. A comparison between the eastern Pacific Polyonyw quadrinngulatus and $P$. gibbesi shows that the zoeal stages are almost exactly similar in mumber and placement of setae (see Table I). P.gibbesi differs most notably in having more setae on the terminal segments of the endopodites of the maxillipeds in both zoeal stages ( 10 and 12) than $P$. quadriungulatus ( 8 and 9). Only detailed examination of each appendage reveals further differences between the zoeae of the two species (e.g., different number of setae and spines on the second maxillae).

More easily observed is the relative length of the antemal exopodite to the endopodite in the two species (see Table I). Knight (1966) considered this a good character for distinguishing $P$. quadriungulatus from Porcellana and Pisidia, the two other members of Lebour's (1943) triad relationship. It is also possible on this basis to separate $P$. gibbesi from $P$. quadriungulatus. In the latter the antennal exopodite is three times the length of the endopodite in Stage I zoea, becoming about equal to the endopodite in Stage II. In P.gibbesi the antennal exopodite is only twice as long as the endopodite in Stage I and becomes $\frac{2}{3}$ the length of the endoporlite in Stage 11. Thus, the exopodite is always shorter in the zoeal stages of $P$. gibbesi than in $P$. quadriungulatus.

A third character which distinguishes $P$. gibbesi from $P$. quadriungulatus is seen in the dorsal setation of the zoeal carapace. The eastern Pacific form has but two setae in both zoeal stages while $P$. gibbesi has three pairs in both stages. Setae on the dorsal surface of the telson, often difficult to observe, may be an additional feature to separate larvae of the two species.

Differences in the megalopae of the two species are less distinct. The mouthparts are quite similar in both form, and number and placement of setae. A comparison of the megalopae in Table II shows that detailed examination is again necessary to separate the two forms. In general, however, $P$. gibbesi has more setae on the mouthparts than $P$. quadriungulatus. It also lacks both the two small spines at the bases of long setae and the articulated spines on the posterior distal margin of the propodus which $P$. quadriungulatus possesses.

The adult morphology of the two species is quite similar. Haig (1960, p. 239) stated that "Aside from Polyonyr. nitidus Lockington, P. quadriunyulatus is most closely related to . . . P. gibbesi." Further, both P. gibbesi and the two Califormia species just mentioned belong to Johnson's (1958) " $P$. sinensis group" (Haig. 1960, p. 238). This group is a complex of species from the Indo-Pacific (and now including California to Panama, the eastern U. S., and the west African coast. Haig, in Litt.) which show similar morphology, plus "a pronounced tendency toward commensalism" (Johnson, 1958. p. 97). P. gibbesi is considered an obligate commensal with the polychaete worm Chactopterus zariopedatus (Gray, 1961), the megalopa establishing the initial relationship with the worm (Gore, unpublished data). Both California species have been found commensal with Chaetopterus though they may not be obligate commensals.

## TABLE II

Comparison of megalopa a ppendages in two species of Polyonyx. Data for $P$. quadriungulatus from Knight (1966)

| Appendage | P. gibbesi | P. quadriungulatus | Appendage | P.gibbesi | P. quadriungulatus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANTENNTL.E | Biramous <br> 3 segmented peduncle, basal segment enlarged | Biramous <br> 3 segmented peduncle, basal segment enlarged | MANILLIPED 2 <br> Protopodite <br> Endopodite | 18 setae <br> 4 segments; 9, 8, 18 <br> 20, 18 setae (in tufts | ```10) setae* 4 segments; -, 8, in tufts, in tufts``` |
| Ventral ramus | 3 segments <br> 7 segments; 10,10 + | 3 segments <br> 7 segments: $10,10+$ |  | on segs. 3-4) <br> 2 segments; 11 termi- |  |
| Dorsal ramus | 7 segments; 10, $10+$ $3,3+2,3$ aesthetascs in tiers | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \text { regments; } 10,10+ \\ & 3,3+2,3 \text { aes- } \\ & \text { thetascs in tiers } \end{aligned}$ | Exopodite | 2 segments; 11 terminal, 9 marginal setae | 2 segments; 11 terminal, 7 marginal setae |
| ANTENNA | About 25 segment. 3 segmented peduncle Small lobe on first peduncular segment | About 30 segments 3 segmented peduncle Small cylindrical branch on first peduncular segment | MANILLIPED 3 Protopodite |  |  |
|  |  |  | Protopodite | serrated spine, numerous setae | serrated tooth, numerous setae |
|  |  |  | Endopodite | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { segments; about } 14 \text {, } \\ & 17,21,27,17 \\ & \text { processes } \end{aligned}$ | ```5 segments; 15, 14, 20, 12, ? processes*``` |
|  | 3 segmented palp <br> About 20 setae |  | Exopodite | 6 terminal, 6 marginal | 6 terminal, 6 marginal* |
| MANDIBLE Distal segment |  | 3 segmented palp 15-17 setae |  | setae | setae |
|  |  |  | P( |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | propodus may have 2 small spines distally | hooks; propodus with 2 articulated spines |
| Endopodite <br> Bacal endite | 2 segments; 1-2 setae | 2 segments; 1-2 setae |  | 2 small spines distally |  |
| Coxal endite | 10 spines, 26 setae | About 44 processes* | PEREIOPOI) 5 | 3 | Chelate; 1 spine, 2 |
|  |  |  |  | scythe-like hook | scythe-like hooks setae |
| MAXILIA |  | U'nsegmented, 8 setae 11 processes* | $\text { PLEOOODS } 1-4$ <br> Endopodites |  |  |
| Endopodite Basal endite | Unsegmented, 7 setale 45 processe. |  | Endopodites | 1 subterminal seta; $4-5$ terminal hooks | 1 subterminal seta; $4-5$ terminal hooks |
| Coxal endite | 49 processe | 43 processes* | Expodite | Usually 12 seta | 12, 12-13, 13, 13 s setae |
| Scaphognathite | About 47 setae | 48-58 setae |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | UROPODS |  |  |
| AXILLIPED 1 |  |  | Endopodite | 10-12 setae | 10-13 setae |
| Protopodite | 50 or more setae | About 50 setae | Exopodite | 18-22 setae | 17-22 setae |
| Endopodite | 6-7 setae | 7 setae |  |  |  |
| Exopodite | 10 or 11 setae | 13-20 setae | TELSON | $8+8$ plumose setae, + spines | $8+8$ plumose setae, + spines |

The similarity in adult and larval morphology, the geographical isolation between Pacific and Atlantic forms, plus the similarity in commensal habitat indicates that $P$. gibbesi and $P$. quadriungulatus are geminate species. Thus they are one more species pair of the many that are known to exist between Caribbean-Atlantic and Pacific coast forms (e.g., Minyocerus kirki and angustus, Porcellana cancrisocialis and sayana, etc., see Haig, 1960).

Lebour (1943) thought that Faxon's Polyony.r macrocheles would fit into the Porcellana- (and Pisidia) -Polyonyx complex, distinguished chiefly by the placement of the fifth plumose setae of the telson in the first and second zoeal stages. Knight (1966) showed that Polyonyx quadriungulatus adhered to Lebour's scheme and the present work confirms Lebour's suggestion for $P$. gibbesi (formerly $P$. macrocheles). The differences in antennal proportions between Porcellana-Pisidia and Polyony.r quadriungulatus, as noted by Knight (see above), apply also to $P$. gibbesi. Thus, the zoeal features such as spined antennal exopodite and its length relative to both the antennal endopodite and to the antennule allow $P$. gibbesi to be separated from $P$. quadriungulatus in both zoeal stages, as already discussed, and from the known species of Porcellana and Pisidia in the first zoeal stage. The scheme breaks down in the second zoeal stage since, in $P$. gibbesi, the antennal exopodite is $\stackrel{\partial}{\bar{\prime}}$ as long as the endopodite while the endopodite is about as long as the antennule proper. Polyony gibbesi thus shows antennal characters (in length) similar to those shown by known species of Porcellana and Pisidia in the second zoeal stage.

The previously mentioned carapace setation may, however, allow complete separation of Polyony.r gibbesi in both zoeal stages from Porcellana-Pisidia zoeae. If it is consistent in other members of the genus Polyony. $x$ then, together with the features mentioned above, it would make Polyonyx larvae immediately distinguishable from most other porcellanid larvae. The value of this last character must await further studies on the larvae of other genera since some western Atlantic species of Pachycheles, Petrolisthes, Porcellana and Minyocerus also have dorsal carapacial setation (Gore, mpublished data). Studies are presently being carried out on the larvae of other genera of Porcellanidae from the south Florida and Caribbean area. Each of the genera mentioned has good distinguishing features in the zoeae which, in conjunction with Lebour's characters regarding the telson, may allow them to be separated from one another (Gore, unpublished data). As larvae of these genera become better known it will be possible to construct a key for their identification and to clarify the relationships between them.

I thank Dr. Anthony J. Provenzano, Jr., Thesis Committee Chairman, for his help throughout this study and for critically reading the manuscript. I also thank the members of my thesis committee, Drs. F. M. Bayer, A. A. Myrberg, Jr., and D. M. Moore for their perceptive advice and criticism. Miss Janet Haig also read the mannscript and clarified points concerning the zoogeographical distribution of the Polyonyx sinensis species complex. Mrs. C. Edith Marks eased the burden of laboratory work. She and Talbot Murray also aided in recording some of the data. I must also acknowledge Dr. H. B. Moore and members of his department, for their help in collecting Polyony. while engaged in his own collecting work in Biscayne Bay, Florida.

## Summary

1. The larval development of the porcellanid crab, Polyonyx gibbesi. a commensal with the polychaete worm Chaetopterus variopedatus, is described and illustrated. Two series of larvae were hatched and maintained in the laboratory, one fed with Artcmia nauplii and the other starved. Members of each series were held at $10^{\circ}, 15^{\circ}, 20^{\circ}, 25^{\circ}$ and $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. the fed larvae hatched as prezoeae and molted through two additional zoeal stages to the megalopa. Duration of the pre-zoeal stage is about two hours, each of the zoeal stages usually lasts six to seven days and the megalopa lasts $12-14$ days before molting to first crab. No crab stages were obtained above or below $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. and no megalopae were obtained below $20^{\circ}$ C. Starved larvae died before attaining Stage II.
2. Comparison of the larvae of Polyony.t gibbesi with those of Polyonyx quadriungulatus, an eastern Pacific species, shows the zoeae and megalopae to be alnost identical both in appendages and in form, numbers, and placement of setae. Similarity of morphology and habitat plus geographical isolation indicate that $P$. gibbesi and $P$. quadriungulatus are geminate species.
3. Larvae of Polyonyt gibbesi possess certain features which allow them to be recognized in the plankton as well as distinguished from known larvae of genera of other western Atlantic porcellanid crabs.
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